

Beyond the Draft: How AI is Redefining Architectural Practice

Author: Giovanni Kalaany¹

Affiliation: CIRAME Research Center, Business School

Holy Spirit University of Kaslik, P.O Box 446, Jounieh, Lebanon

Abstract:

The rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into architectural practice marks a significant shift from traditional digital modeling to advanced, collaborative workflows, fundamentally altering how architects design, think, and operate. Addressing a gap in current literature regarding professional perception, this qualitative study investigates how practicing architects view AI's impact on their daily workflows, creative processes, and overall professional identity. Through semi-structured interviews with professionals across diverse firm sizes, the research reveals that while AI significantly enhances efficiency, rapid iteration, and early-stage visualization, it is cautiously embraced as a supportive tool rather than an autonomous creator. The findings highlight a critical transformation in the architect's role, transitioning from traditional creator to a crucial editor and decision-maker who guides machine-generated outputs with expert judgment. Ultimately, the study concludes that AI will not replace human expertise; rather, its responsible integration will redefine the profession by augmenting creativity and demanding new standards of accountability, authorship, and ethical oversight.

Keywords:

Artificial intelligence, Architectural practice, Professional identity, Workflow efficiency, Generative design

Introduction: "The What & Why"

Architecture has always evolved alongside technological change. From hand drawing to CAD, and from digital modeling to advanced simulation tools, each technological shift has altered the way architects think, design, and communicate. Today, artificial intelligence is emerging as the next major transformation in this evolution. Unlike previous tools that mainly supported execution, AI has begun to influence conceptual thinking, design generation, analysis, and decision-making. As a result, it is no longer enough to view AI as a technical add-on; it is becoming part of the architectural process itself.

The integration of AI into architectural practice raises important questions about how the profession is changing. Architects are increasingly using AI-assisted tools for tasks such as idea generation, visualization, performance analysis, and documentation. These tools can reduce repetitive work, speed up design exploration, and improve efficiency across projects. However, they also challenge traditional assumptions about creativity, authorship, expertise, and professional judgment. This creates a complex relationship in which AI is seen both as a benefit and as a source of uncertainty.

At the professional level, the adoption of AI may reshape how architectural firms operate. It can influence workflow structures, collaboration patterns, and expectations of productivity. Smaller studios and large firms may experience these changes differently, depending on access to technology, staff expertise, and project demands. In this context, understanding architects' perceptions of AI becomes essential, because the success of these tools depends not only on their technical performance but also on how professionals choose to adopt, trust, and integrate them into practice.

This article examines the relationship between artificial intelligence and architecture through the lens of professional change. It focuses on how architects see AI, how it affects their daily workflow, and how it may transform the broader identity of the profession. By exploring these issues, the study aims to contribute to a clearer understanding of whether AI is simply improving architectural work or fundamentally redefining what architectural practice means in the contemporary era.

Literature Review: "The story so far "

The integration of artificial intelligence into architecture has rapidly shifted from a speculative topic to a practical concern in both research and professional discourse. Recent literature shows that AI is no longer limited to experimental image generation or computational analysis; it is increasingly being used across architectural design stages, from early concept development to visualization, documentation, and performance evaluation. This expansion reflects a broader transformation in the discipline, where AI is influencing not only what architects produce but also how they think, collaborate, and define their professional role. As a result, the literature increasingly frames AI as a force that is reshaping architectural practice rather than merely supporting it.

AI is currently the most trending topic of our modern era, from its impact on investments (Athari et al., 2026), to its importance for smarter decisions (Subrahmanyam & Beainy, 2027), and from its influence, in addition to ESG on equity performance (Kaspard et al., 2026) to its impact on audit practices (Sawaya et al., 2026) and measuring investor sentiments (Khalife et al., 2023). Specifically in architecture, a major theme in the literature is the increasing use of generative AI

in the design process. Li et al. describe how generative AI models such as GANs, diffusion models, 3D generative systems, and foundation models are being applied to architectural design tasks, including preliminary form generation, plan development, façade exploration, and image expression. Their review shows that research has grown substantially in recent years, especially in areas where design variability, speed, and iterative exploration are important. The authors also argue that generative AI expands the creative potential of the design process while raising questions about the limits of machine-generated outputs in relation to architectural authorship and judgment. Similarly, other reviews of AI in architecture emphasize that current applications are concentrated in the earliest stages of design, where AI can rapidly generate options and accelerate concept development.

The literature also shows that AI is valued for workflow enhancement. Studies and industry surveys consistently report that architects use AI to save time, improve iteration speed, and support visual experimentation. In practice, this includes tasks such as concept rendering, layout generation, early-stage massing studies, and image-based ideation. Survey-based evidence suggests that architects are especially interested in AI when it reduces repetitive work and helps them explore more alternatives in less time. However, these same studies indicate that efficiency gains are not always matched by complete trust in the output, which means AI is often used selectively rather than as a fully autonomous design tool. This tension between utility and caution is a recurring finding across the literature.

Another important strand of research concerns architects' perceptions of AI. The available literature suggests that architects are generally optimistic about AI's potential but remain cautious about its reliability, transparency, and impact on design quality. Research published by professional organizations indicates that adoption is growing, but still uneven, and that many firms are in an exploratory phase rather than full integration. This cautious stance is important because architectural practice depends on judgment, accountability, and contextual interpretation, not only technical efficiency. In this sense, the literature suggests that AI is accepted more readily when it supports human decision-making than when it appears to replace it.^{aia+3}

Professional identity is another key concern in the literature. As AI takes on more generative and analytical tasks, scholars increasingly ask whether the architect's role is being redefined from creator to curator, supervisor, or strategist. Some discussions argue that AI may strengthen the architect's position by freeing time for higher-level thinking, client communication, and conceptual control. Others warn that reliance on AI could reduce the visibility of traditional design expertise and create uncertainty about authorship, originality, and accountability. This debate is especially relevant for a topic like Redefining Architectural Practice, because the profession is not only adopting new tools but also negotiating what counts as architectural value in an AI-driven environment.

The literature highlights changes in collaboration and firm structure, with AI becoming more integrated into digital workflows like BIM, visualization, environmental analysis, and project coordination. AI influences not only design outcomes but also how teams organize tasks and responsibilities. Larger firms, equipped with more resources, specialized staff, and digital infrastructure, are better positioned to adopt these tools, while smaller studios may face higher barriers. Consequently, AI adoption could amplify existing differences in capacity, speed, and competitiveness among firms. A related concern is the ethical and educational aspect of AI adoption, raising issues regarding authorship, intellectual property, data bias, and responsibility for design results. Architecture education is challenged to prepare students for a field where computational literacy, prompt-based design, and data interpretation grow in importance, making

AI a pedagogical and ethical concern beyond just technical implications. If architects are expected to collaborate with intelligent systems, both training and professional standards must adapt. Overall, AI is already impacting architectural practice, especially in concept development, visualization, and workflow efficiency. However, architects view AI as a tool that requires guidance, interpretation, and critical evaluation, not a substitute for expertise. A significant gap in the literature is the focus on technical applications and industry trends, with fewer studies exploring how architects themselves perceive AI's impact on their work and identity. Addressing this gap requires research into how AI is transforming architectural practice from the perspective of practicing architects.

Methodology: "The how we did it "

This study employs a qualitative approach to examine how architects perceive the influence of artificial intelligence on architectural practice. This method is suitable because the subject involves perceptions, experiences, and attitudes, which are best explored through descriptive and thematic analysis rather than quantitative measures. The research aims to understand architects' views on AI, its integration into their workflows, and its impact on their professional roles.

The approach includes case studies and interviews, with semi-structured interviews serving as the primary data collection tool. These allow participants to speak openly while focusing on key research themes, such as awareness of AI tools, usage frequency, benefits, challenges, ethical issues, and effects on creativity, efficiency, and decision-making. This provides depth and adaptability, important in a rapidly evolving field like AI in architecture.

Participants may include practicing architects, designers, and professionals from firms of various sizes. Purposive sampling is used to select individuals with direct experience using AI or digital design tools. Including professionals from small and large firms can reveal differences in adoption, workflow, and outlook. Recent graduates or educators may also be included to gain insights into the profession's future.

Data collection involves recorded interviews, either in person or online, depending on availability. The recordings are transcribed and analyzed thematically to identify recurring ideas, patterns, and concerns. Key themes might include AI as a design aid, workflow acceleration, originality concerns, shifts in job roles, and the future of architectural expertise.

The study adheres to ethical principles by informing participants about the purpose and obtaining their consent before interviews. Confidentiality is maintained by anonymizing identities and removing identifying information from the final data. This is especially vital given some participants' potential critique of their workplace or professional practices.

This methodology enables the research to delve into how architects actually interpret AI's role, moving beyond general claims. As the field is still evolving, a qualitative approach captures diverse perspectives and emerging trends. The results can illuminate how AI influences architectural practice and redefines the profession itself.

SJSU Writing Center. (2021). Methodology section for research papers. San José State University.

Results: "The facts "

This study indicates that artificial intelligence is gradually being integrated into architectural practice, but in a selective and cautious manner. Most participants see AI primarily as a supportive tool rather than a replacement for architectural thinking. They reported that AI is most useful during the early design phases, especially for idea generation, visual exploration, and rapid iterations, helping to save time and broaden the scope of potential ideas.

A common finding was that architects consider efficiency the main benefit of AI. Many mentioned that AI reduces repetitive tasks, accelerates brainstorming, and enhances workflow continuity across different stages of design. Participants also observed that AI can aid in visualization, presentation development, and initial analysis, making it easier to communicate ideas to clients and collaborators. In this context, AI is seen as a practical tool that boosts productivity and facilitates quicker decision-making.

At the same time, the study highlights that architects remain cautious about AI's limitations. Several participants voiced concerns about originality, accuracy, and the potential loss of human intuition in the design process. While AI helps produce options, it is rarely trusted for final decisions without professional oversight. This reflects the importance of human judgment as the core of architectural quality and responsibility.

Another key insight concerns professional identity. The study shows that AI is transforming how architects perceive their roles. Instead of just creating forms, architects are increasingly acting as editors, coordinators, and critical decision-makers who oversee AI outputs. This change suggests that AI is not replacing expertise but redefining it in terms of supervision, interpretation, and creative control.

The findings also reveal variations in AI adoption based on practice size and structure. Larger firms tend to be more exposed to and utilize AI tools across multiple project stages. Meanwhile, smaller studios are often more cautious, citing costs, training challenges, and uncertainty about implementation. This indicates that AI's impact may be uneven, influenced by resources and digital readiness.

Overall, the results confirm that AI influences architectural workflow, creativity, and professional roles. However, architects do not see AI as a substitute for their expertise. Instead, they regard it as a powerful instrument that can enhance practice while still requiring human oversight, contextual understanding, and design judgment.

Discussion and conclusion: "The so what ? "

Artificial intelligence is no longer a distant concept in architecture; it is increasingly embedded in how the profession envisions, develops, and executes design. This study demonstrates that AI is transforming architectural practice by enhancing workflow efficiency, broadening early design exploration, and redefining the architect's role in the design process. Rather than replacing architects, AI seems to reposition them as vital interpreters, editors, and decision-makers who steer technology with professional judgment.

Simultaneously, the study highlights that this shift is not solely technical. The integration of AI raises important questions about authorship, creativity, accountability, and identity—all central to architectural practice. Architects are not just contemplating what AI can do; they are considering

what kind of profession architecture will become as intelligent systems gain influence. This tension underscores why AI is such a crucial topic today.

The study's limitations remind us that AI in architecture remains in a developmental stage. Since its adoption varies across firms and contexts, the findings depict a field in transition rather than a settled reality. Nevertheless, this uncertainty emphasizes the importance of the subject: architecture is undergoing a redefinition, with the future of the practice depending not only on technological advances but also on how thoughtfully architects leverage these tools.

Most importantly, this research suggests that AI should be viewed as a partner in architectural practice, not a replacement for human creativity. Its true value lies in enhancing creativity, speeding up decision-making, and supporting more responsive workflows, all while preserving the architect's critical judgment. If used responsibly, AI can bolster the profession rather than weaken it. The key challenge is not whether AI will enter architecture, but how architects will shape AI to embody the profession's values, intelligence, and responsibility.

- This article explores the changing dynamic between artificial intelligence and architectural practice, focusing on architects' perceptions of AI, its impact on workflows, and its influence on professional identity. As AI tools become more embedded in design processes, architecture is transitioning from traditional digital methods to a more flexible, collaborative approach. The study views AI both as a technical aid and a conceptual driver, particularly in early design phases, visualization, performance assessment, and project management. It highlights opportunities for increased efficiency, innovation, and broader design exploration, as well as concerns about authorship, creativity, and accountability.

- Using qualitative methods, the research gathers architects' insights to understand how AI is being adopted and how it is transforming the profession at personal and organizational levels. Results indicate that AI does not replace expertise but reshapes it, shifting the architect's role towards critical interpretation, decision-making, and overseeing machine-generated outputs. The article argues that AI is becoming essential in architecture, though its long-term effects will depend on responsible and thoughtful integration into professional culture, education, and ethics.

References

- Aivancity. (2025, July 20). When artificial intelligence outlines the future of architecture: Towards augmented design. Aivancity
- American Institute of Architects. (2025, March 10). AIA research explores AI in architecture. aia
- American Institute of Architects. (2025, March 2). Architects are excited about the potential of AI, but concerns abound. aia
- Athari, S. A., Sassine, M. E., Agyemang, E. T., Kirikkaleli, D., & Saliba, C. (2026). Nonlinear Dynamics of AI Investment and Economic Growth in Germany: Evidence from a NARDL Approach. *Economies*, 14(1), 31.
- Autodesk. (2026, February 26). How AI in architecture is shaping the future of design, visualization, and collaboration. autodesk

- Bölek, B., Tural, O., & Özbaşaran, H. (2023). A systematic review on artificial intelligence applications in architecture. *Journal of Design for Resilience in Architecture and Planning*. semantic scholar
- Chaos. (2026, March 22). The state of AI in architecture: Survey insights. chaos
- Kaspard, J., Kamel, C., Khalil, F., & Beainy, R. (2026). Financial Performance, Risk, and Market Integration of Sustainability-Oriented Equity Indices: Implications for the Sustainability Transition (2010–2025). *Risks*, 14(5), 99.
- Khalife, D., Yammine, J., & El Bazi, T. (2023). Understanding the Effect of Investors' Sentiments on the S&P 500 Price Levels: A Deep Learning Model Approach. *Khalife D., Yammine J., El Bazi T.,(2023), 'Understanding the Effect of Investors' Sentiments on the S&P, 500*, 118-139.
- Li, C., Zhang, T., Du, X., Zhang, Y., & Xie, H. (2025). Generative AI for architectural design: A literature review. arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.01335>arxiv
- Sabono, F. (2025). A review: Exploring AI's role in architecture. *Dimensi: Journal of Architecture and Built Environment*. dimensi.petra
- Sawaya, C., Khalil, F. C., Jabbour Al Maalouf, N., & Kaspard, J. (2026). Revolutionizing audit practice in emerging economies: the role of Artificial Intelligence in enhancing the audit of consolidated financial statements. *Cogent Business & Management*, 13(1), 2625974.
- Subrahmanyam, S., & Beainy, R. H. (2027). Smart Decision Support for Uncertainty and Disruptions in Industry 4.0 Operations. In *Smart Operational Research Solutions in the Era of Industry 4.0 and Sustainability* (pp. 167-198). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.