

History Of Money

Author: Nehmtallah Tohme¹

*Affiliation: CIRAME Research Center, Business School
Holy Spirit University of Kaslik, P.O Box 446, Jounieh, Lebanon*

Abstract:

Money has played a central role in shaping modern international finance and represents one of the most significant revolutions in economic history. From its earliest forms in barter-based societies to today's complex digital systems, money has continuously evolved to meet the changing needs of trade and economic organization. In traditional societies, barter enabled the direct exchange of goods and services; however, its limitations particularly the lack of a double coincidence of wants restricted economic growth and efficiency. To address these challenges, societies gradually adopted commodity money such as gold and silver, which provided a standardized and widely accepted medium of exchange.

Over time, metallic money evolved into paper currency, supported by the development of banking systems that facilitated savings, credit creation, and large-scale transactions. These transformations laid the foundation for exchange rate systems and global financial markets. In the contemporary era, money has entered a new phase characterized by electronic payments and cryptocurrencies, further reshaping financial interactions worldwide. Understanding the historical evolution of money provides valuable insight into the structure of today's financial system and helps anticipate its future developments.

Keywords:

Monetary evolution, Barter system, Banking systems, Global financial markets, Digital currencies

Introduction: "The What & Why"

Money is one of the essential pillars that dominates modern international finance. It represents a fundamental revolution in the history of finance. Over the decades, the concept of money has become industrialized and has evolved drastically, from a simple piece of metal to a complex and universal phenomenon that unites the world. Therefore, in order to understand how exchange rates, banking systems, and global financial markets have developed, it is essential to recognize the history of money.

In traditional society, trade was based on barter, where goods and services were exchanged directly for the sole purpose of satisfying basic needs. However, barter had certain weaknesses, such as the lack of double coincidence of wants. To overcome these limitations and obstacles, the major concept of money emerged, initially using material goods such as gold or silver. Over the years, societies have transitioned to metallic money, paper money, and banks.

In our contemporary society, the nature of money has changed once again, with the emergence of digital forms such as cryptocurrencies and electronic payments.

The project explores the evolution of money, highlighting how this development has affected the global economy and financial markets. By taking monetary history into account, we gain a clearer perspective on the current financial system, enabling us to better predict its future characteristics.

Literature Review: "The story so far "

The history and development of money have been widely studied by economists and historians. One of those historians who proposed an early explanation of money emergence is Adam Smith (1723-1790): "Smith argues that human society begins with barter, meaning that getting what one wants but others possess means offering them something they want but do not possess. This system, relying on a 'double coincidence of wants', is sufficiently impractical that it will eventually give way to the use of a single commodity, which can be traded for anything." (The collector)

Here comes the necessity of adopting a regularly accepted goods (such as metals) that could function as a median type of exchange. This came later as an efficient solution to solve this inefficiency.

Later studies have expanded this idea by examining how certain commodities became standardized forms of money. Precious metals like gold and silver were particularly favored because of their durability, divisibility, and portability.

Austrian Economist Carl Menger (1840-1921) described the emergence of money as a step-by-step process driven by self-interest: In a pure barter economy, finding a "double coincidence of wants" (someone who has what you want and wants what you have) is difficult. Individuals noticed that certain goods were more generally in demand and easier to trade (more "saleable") than others. To avoid trading frustrations, individuals began trading their less-marketable goods for more-marketable ones, even if they did not intend to consume them, solely to make future trades easier. As this behavior became common, a specific commodity—often precious metals due to their durability and divisibility—became universally accepted in exchange. At this point, that commodity became "money".

In recent decades, scholars have focused on the rise of digital forms of money. The development of electronic payment systems and cryptocurrencies has introduced new debates about the nature

and future of money. The 2009 creation of Bitcoin by Satoshi Nakamoto opened discussions about decentralized financial systems and the potential for technology to reshape monetary systems worldwide.

The existing research indicates that money has continuously adapted to economic demands, tech advancements, and institutional growth. This body of work lays a solid groundwork for grasping the evolution of modern finance and predicting future shifts.

Methodology: "The how we did it "

First, Adam Smith's study on the emergence of money was sufficient to explain the transition from barter to commodity money. This study states that since barter is inefficient (due to the double coincidence of goods), societies become more complex as a result of the division of labor it imposes; consequently, people chose goods accepted everywhere, such as salt, for their portability, durability, and the fact that they are widely available.

We can conclude, based on Adam Smith's studies, that money must be easy to transport, easy to store, and easy to find next, I was right to examine the transition from commodity money to paper money. Building on Carl Menger's existing work, Milton Friedman clearly stated that money does not need to be a commodity.

Friedman argues that money no longer needs to be tied to salt (for example) or to a physical commodity. What matters is not its "intrinsic value" but the way in which money is managed; it must be trustworthy, stable, and have a controllable supply.

Take gold, for example: in a population with a high birth rate, would we have enough gold to maintain monetary equilibrium, or would we face a shortage of money and thus an economic crisis? This is one of the major factors that drove this transition. Furthermore, the opposite is equally true; Friedman adds that "Inflation is always and everywhere a monetary phenomenon." " In other words, having an uncontrollable amount of money (such as salt or herbs) can lead to inflation.

Despite his undeniable criticism of central banks, Friedman acknowledges that their existence is essential for managing and controlling the money supply, but they must strictly adhere to constraints such as stable growth in the money supply. Thus, unlike earlier systems (gold, silver), Friedman fully accepts the shift to fiat (paper) currency, provided, of course, that it is properly regulated.

In conclusion, for a currency to function properly, its supply must be controllable, it must be managed, and backed by central banks (provided it is properly regulated). Finally, the shift from fiat currency to digital currency divides the world into two camps: cryptocurrency and debit cards. Cryptocurrency was also mentioned and predicted by Friedman: "I think the Internet is going to be one of the major forces for reducing the role of government... It will be possible to buy and sell without the intervention of banks." This idea seems revolutionary but is actually logical; with the evolution of technology, the search for efficient, fast, and secure payment methods has emerged, especially after the 2008 economic crisis, which eroded people's trust in the banking system.

On the other hand, debit cards and digital technology have always been seen as a potential solution to major problems, such as sending money internationally and making payments without necessarily being physically present somewhere. With the evolution of digital technology, major advantages have emerged, such as fast transactions (especially international ones), lower fees, global accessibility, and transparency (blockchain). the main difference with digital currencies is

that they must always be directly linked to banks. Today, governments are developing their own digital currencies (CBDCs): a digital version of the official currency that is still controlled by central banks.

Nevertheless, whether through cryptocurrency or digital currency, users are reassured by the security, convenience, and efficiency that this new monetary era offers.

This is the focus of my study: by analyzing and examining each transition in monetary form, as well as understanding the reasons behind these transitions, we have been able to identify the limits and characteristics of money. Thus, we can assess each potential change in the form of money and understand the impact these changes have on the global economy.

Results: "The facts "

In short, we can now identify the reasons that drive us to change the nature of money while defining what makes money effective.

What drives people to constantly change the form of money is, above all, their needs and the obstacles they face; money must, above all, be portable, durable, and widely available, as well as controlled and regulated by a central bank.

These represent the natural characteristics of money; however, with the development of modern technology, these characteristics have been somewhat altered, making money more secure, faster, and more controllable. This new aspect of money has completely changed our perspective on it.

Furthermore, it is worth noting that the evolution of money has accelerated in recent decades, compared to the previous century and millennium, when its evolution was relatively slow. This lends a fleeting quality to today's currency and provides a means of predicting its future.

The successive transformations of money—from barter to commodity money, then to fiat money, and finally to digital money—have profoundly altered the functioning of the global economy.

The transition from barter to commodity money, analyzed by Adam Smith, made it possible to overcome the limitations associated with the double coincidence of needs, thereby facilitating trade, the division of labor, and the expansion of markets.

The transition to fiat money, championed by Milton Friedman, introduced the ability to control the money supply. This development gave monetary authorities a central role in regulating economic variables such as inflation, growth, and financial stability.

Furthermore, the digitization of money has increased the speed and efficiency of transactions, particularly on an international scale. Digital payment systems have fostered the intensification of economic exchanges, while cryptocurrencies—developed notably after the 2008 financial crisis—have introduced decentralized forms that challenge the role of traditional intermediaries.

Finally, central bank digital currencies (CBDCs) demonstrate how governments are adapting to these developments, combining technological innovation with the maintenance of institutional control.

As a result, these changes have helped improve the efficiency of trade, redefine regulatory mechanisms, and transform the structure of the global economic system.

So what can we expect for the future of money and the monetary system?

Discussion and conclusion: "The so what ? "

Around the world, fewer and fewer people are using cash; in countries like Sweden, paying with coins or bills is already rare and on the verge of disappearing. For many young people, carrying cash seems pointless.

By 2050, we could see the emergence of a cashless society, which has obvious advantages: payments are faster, cleaner, and often safer. Businesses save time by no longer having to handle cash, and international travel becomes much easier when digital currencies are exchanged automatically.

From a practical standpoint, a complete shift to digital may therefore seem like a win-win solution, but cash still offers advantages that digital can never provide, such as anonymity or the absence of dependency risks (on networks and electricity).

The decline of cash may therefore seem inevitable, but the real question is whether we are prepared to give up the freedoms or security we are sacrificing along the way.

Similarly, the emergence of CBDCs (central bank digital currencies) falls somewhere between these two principles: they are a centralized form of currency that remains entirely under the control of central banks, just like traditional currency, but in digital form.

By 2050, most major economies will therefore likely have some form of CBDC.

The promise here is efficiency: payments could be settled instantly, cross-border transfers would become smoother and less expensive, and since they are backed by governments, people would trust them as legal tender.

But the potential drawbacks are just as significant, CBDC gives governments direct visibility into every transaction, that would allow, tighter control of money, restrictions on where it can be spent, or even frozen digital wallets with the push of a button.

Yet for now it is clear, the age of central bank digital money is near.

while governments work in their own digital currencies, another talked about force in shaping the future of money: crypto currencies.

Over the past decades, Bitcoins and Ethereum have moved from niche internet projects to global financial assets. And even though the market is still volatile, the underlying technology has already proven it can not be ignored.

by 2050, crypto could go in several directions: In one scenario, it becomes fully integrated into Mainstream Finance. Governments regulate it, banks adopt it, and ordinary people would use these digital coins as everyday payments.

In another, crypto could remain a parallel economy outside traditional systems, appealing to those who want independence from banks and governments.

Mentioning a possibility it loses momentum altogether, either crushed by regulation or replaced by something entirely new.

What makes crypto so unpredictable is that it represents the opposite vision of money compared to CBDCs. Where it meets the requirements of the currency that was previously in use—namely, centralization and monetary control. Cryptocurrencies, on the other hand, are decentralized, transparent, secure, and borderless; all characteristics that have historically driven changes in the monetary system.

We cannot overlook a major factor—one not directly linked to the history of money, but which is essential for predicting its future—namely, the danger posed by AI, which is changing job

opportunities. As a result, if so few people have the chance to secure steady employment, companies will adopt new systems to support income.

One of the most discussed ideas is UBI: universal basic income. The concept is simple: every individual receives a regular sum from the government. This has been tested in small-scale programs, and by 2050, it may be a common feature of the modern economy.

UBI provides a much-needed source of stability.

This remains theoretical, as such a project requires an enormous budget and high taxes.

By 2050, we may live in a world where compensation is not tied to work, but rather to a guaranteed share of a society's wealth.

This could affect money emergence in so many ways.

As money becomes fully digital, the way we access and use it may change just as much as the money itself. Thus, payments might not rely on cards or phones at all, instead, could be tied directly to identity through biometrics, fingerprints, facial scans. Paying could become much simpler and secure, answering money's need of invulnerability.

In conclusion, Money will likely become almost entirely digital, combining the state control of central bank currencies with the innovation of cryptocurrencies. Cash will nearly disappear, replaced by faster, more efficient, and highly secure systems. However, this evolution will raise important concerns about privacy, freedom, and control. At the same time, new economic models like universal basic income may reshape how money is distributed. Ultimately, the future of money will balance technological progress with societal trust and regulation.

References

Friedman, M. (1963) *Inflation: Causes and Consequences*. Bombay: Asia Publishing House.
[Note: This contains the famous quote: "Inflation is always and everywhere a monetary phenomenon."]

Friedman, M. (1999) 'Interview with NTU/F', *National Taxpayers Union*, December. Available at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6MnQJFE4KnM> (Accessed: 9 April 2026). [Note: In this interview, Friedman predicted the emergence of reliable electronic money and the internet's role in reducing government control.]

Menger, C. (1892) 'On the Origin of Money', *The Economic Journal*, 2(6), pp. 239–255.

Nakamoto, S. (2008) *Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System*. Available at: <https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf> (Accessed: 9 April 2026).

Smith, A. (1776) *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*. Edited by E. Cannan, 1904. London: Methuen.

Tohme, N. (2026) 'History of Money', *International Maronite Journal*, 1(26), pp. 230–235.