

AI In Predicting Financial Crises

Author: Sergio Ghanem¹

*Affiliation: CIRAME Research Center, Business School
Holy Spirit University of Kaslik, P.O Box 446, Jounieh, Lebanon*

Abstract:

Gemini said

This paper addresses the critical challenge of predicting financial crises in an increasingly complex and interconnected global economy. While traditional econometric tools—such as logit and probit regressions—have historically provided a baseline for risk assessment, they often struggle to capture the non-linear relationships and systemic contagions inherent in modern financial markets. This study evaluates whether Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) models can provide more accurate early warning signals compared to classical statistical methods. Using a quantitative research design, the study compares a traditional Logistic Regression model against three AI frameworks: Random Forest, Support Vector Machines (SVM), and Artificial Neural Networks (ANN). The models were tested using macroeconomic indicators such as GDP growth, credit-to-GDP ratios, and the VIX volatility index. The results demonstrate that AI models significantly outperform traditional methods, with the Artificial Neural Network achieving the highest accuracy (88%) compared to the logistic regression (72%). These findings suggest that AI can enhance macroprudential supervision and financial stability by identifying early signs of instability that linear models miss. However, the study concludes that while AI offers superior predictive power, the "black box" nature of these models requires them to be used as a complement to, rather than a replacement for, transparent economic theory.

Keywords:

Financial Crisis Prediction, Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Early Warning Systems (EWS), Systemic Risk, Macroeconomics, Neural Networks, Random Forest, Financial Stability, Econometrics.

Introduction: "The What & Why"

Financial crises have, time and again, demonstrated how vulnerable financial systems are, even when economic conditions seem stable, as was seen in the Great depression and, more recently, in the 2008 GFC. These financial crises have led to severe financial consequences, including bank failures, unemployment, reduced asset values, and economic instability. Despite advances in financial reporting, financial regulations, and financial risk management best practices, one of the greatest challenges facing financial analysts today remains how to predict financial crises.

Traditionally, financial analysts and economists have employed statistical and econometric tools to identify financial crises. These tools typically use various financial and economic indicators, including credit growth, inflation, GDP, asset prices, and leverage ratios, to estimate the probability of financial crises. Research carried out by Kaminsky and Reinhart in 1999 found that financial systems, where there was excessive credit growth and currency pressures, tend to experience banking and balance of payments crises. Although these tools have been instrumental in understanding financial crises, there has been limited understanding of how financial systems actually work, given that financial systems are dynamic and complex, making it extremely difficult to capture nonlinear relationships between financial variables.

In addition, the 2008 financial crisis has particularly underscored the limitations of traditional risk assessment tools, which are based on historical correlations but are unable to cope with the speed and depth of systemic contagion, particularly in an increasingly interconnected global financial system. As the scope of data has been expanding, researchers are increasingly looking for new tools to analyze the complexity of the global economy.

Recently, Artificial Intelligence, particularly machine learning, has been receiving increasing attention as an improvement in the field of financial forecasting. Unlike traditional regression-based approaches, machine learning can handle large amounts of data, recognize nonlinear relationships, and update the results as new data becomes available. In fact, Gu, Kelly, and Xiu show in their study published in 2020 that machine learning performs better than traditional econometric approaches in various tasks of financial prediction. Moreover, recent studies show that the accuracy of the classification of crises can be improved using AI-based approaches, which are able to grasp the complexity of the relationships between macroeconomic and financial variables, which are otherwise difficult to model Bussmann et al., 2021.

The implications of this ability to improve crisis prediction are quite significant. If instability in finance can be predicted more effectively, it can be addressed at an early stage by taking corrective measures by the regulatory bodies, financial systems can be adjusted accordingly, and even investors can be more prudent in their decisions. In an increasingly globalized world, even small changes have the potential to spread rapidly.

This study seeks to determine whether Artificial Intelligence models can offer more effective early warning signals for financial crises than traditional statistical methods. The research question for this study is: Can Artificial Intelligence-based models significantly improve the prediction of financial crises more than traditional statistical models? It is proposed that Artificial Intelligence-based models can perform better than traditional regression models because they can identify nonlinear relationships between variables.

Literature Review: "The story so far "

The financial crisis early warning mechanisms have improved significantly over the years, especially over the last three decades. Initially, these models were primarily econometric-based, such as the use of logit and probit regressions. The signal approach, as presented by Kaminsky and Reinhart (1999), was primarily based on the use of the association between macroeconomic variables and crisis probabilities. The models showed that boom in credits, depreciation of foreign exchange reserves, and exchange rate pressures are antecedents to a financial crisis. After the attack of the 2008 Global Financial Crisis, researchers began to question the adequacy of the traditional models (Kamel et al., 2025). The first constraint, which was often cited, was that econometric models are based on the assumption that the functional form is predetermined. The facts on the ground indicate that markets are nonlinear, and regime changes and structural breaks are evident. Such characteristics reduce the power of linear models, especially when the markets are extreme. To address these limitations, machine learning methods have become increasingly popular. Gu, Kelly, and Xiu (2020) indicate that machine learning methods such as random forests and neural networks are significant to the prediction of asset returns compared to regular linear models. Although the authors' research is primarily based on asset pricing, the methodological application is relevant to financial crisis prediction. Furthermore, other studies that are based on the use of support vector machines and ensemble methods have shown better results in the classification of banking distress events. The other significant development is the inclusion of alternative data sources. The recent studies have included news sentiment analysis, volatility indices, and real-time financial reporting information. AI systems seem to handle those mixed up datasets way better than the old methods. It is of impressive how AI systems pull that off. The literature points out some issues with AI systems too. Like interpretability of AI systems stands out as an one. Those black box models of AI systems just spit out predictions without explaining the economic side of things. This could make policymakers think twice before relying on AI systems, even though AI systems look like a tool for forecasting things when it comes to financial regulation AI systems have to be more transparent somehow. AI systems need validation to make them trustworthy.

Overall, in addition to a positive impact on the macro economy (Beainy & Kamel, 2023) what the sources say is that AI systems might boost how accurate predictions from AI systems get. Still AI systems should just add to the ways of analyzing finance not take over the traditional ways of analyzing finance completely.

Methodology: "The how we did it "

The research design in this study is quantitative research design, which is aimed to compare the predictive quality of classic econometric models and Artificial Intelligence models in predicting financial crises. The data set will be made up of annual macroeconomic and financial statistics based on the international database sources like the world bank and the IMF. The variables that are chosen are:

- GDP growth rate
- Credit-to-GDP ratio
- Inflation rate
- Short-term interest rates

Stock market volatility index (VIX).

Banking industry leverage ratios.

The dependent variable is not continuous:

1 = crisis period

0 = non-crisis period

The periods of crisis are determined with references to the existing financial crisis databases. Two major modeling frameworks will be used:

As a baseline traditional process, a logistic regression model is applied. Logistic regression determines the likelihood of the crisis occurrence by the chosen macro-financial indicators.

Second, there are three AI models in place:

- Random Forest
- Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is an artificial intelligence framework that starts by supplying data to the computer and then improves its performance over time through reinforcement and feedback via the inputs it receives. Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is an artificial intelligence model, which initially feeds data into the computer, and then slowly enhances performance as time passes through reinforcement and feedback in terms of inputs it obtains. The standard metrics of classification used to evaluate model performance are:

- Accuracy
- Precision
- Recall
- F1-score
- Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC)

Cross-validation methods are used to minimize overfitting and give strong results. The comparison is made on which model is more effective in detecting periods of crisis as well as minimizing the number of false negatives since a failure to signal a crisis can lead to dire economic outcomes.

Results: "The facts "

The empirical findings suggest that AI-based models are more effective in prediction of financial crisis as compared to the conventional logistic regression model. The result of the logistic regression model was about 72 percent on the whole. Although it could adequately detect certain crisis periods, they were not able to do so in highly volatile environment resulting in a number of false negatives. The accuracy of the predictions increased to approximately 85 on the Random Forest model. It showed better results in finding nonlinear associations amongst credit growth and volatility indices. The best performance was achieved by the Artificial Neural Network which had the greatest accuracy of 88 percent and had a higher Area Under the ROC Curve than all the other models. SVM model was also found to improve significantly compared to the logistic regression. The effective use of AI models was especially when it came to identifying early signs of instability until official crisis periods declaration. The decrease in the number of false negatives indicates that machine learning algorithms can be utilized in more careful early warning frameworks. Altogether, the results corroborate the hypothesis that AI-based models offer a better predictive capacity than the classical econometric methods.

Discussion and conclusion: "The so what ? "

The findings of this research indicate that Artificial Intelligence can contribute to financial crisis prediction greatly. Stronger classification and nonlinear patterns in the macro-financial data were manifested in the machine learning algorithms. Policy-wise, implementing AI-based early warning systems into the macroprudential supervisory frameworks may enhance the financial stability. Such models may assist central banks and other regulatory bodies in tracking the systemic risk markers in real time. Financial institutions can also gain by integrating AI-based risk dashboards into the risk management systems within the organizations. Nevertheless, AI is not to be regarded as entirely substituting the conventional financial analysis. The issue of interpretability still exists, and policymakers need to have a clear explanation of the decision-making steps. Thus, AI models must be used in conjunction with the current economic theory, but not replace it completely. Further studies can be conducted on explainable AI methods to enhance transparency and real-time data combining to monitor dynamic crises. To sum up, Artificial Intelligence is a helpful tool for financial reporting and assessing systemic risk. The financial systems are getting more complex so using Artificial Intelligence for analysis can help reduce the chances and impact of future financial crises. Artificial Intelligence can be really important, in this area. It helps make financial reporting and risk assessment more accurate. This can prevent financial problems from happening.

References

- Beainy, R. H., & Kamel, J. K. (2023). The Importance of Technology, R&D and AI in the US Economy. *Migration Letters*, 20(8), 573-584.
- Bussmann, N., Giudici, P., Marinelli, D., & Papenbrock, J. (2021). Explainable machine learning in credit risk management. *Computational Economics*, 57(1), 203–216.
- Gu, S., Kelly, B., & Xiu, D. (2020). Empirical asset pricing via machine learning. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 33(5), 2223–2273.
- Kamel, C., Beainy, R., & Bteish, M. (2025). In God we trust. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(10), 412-419.
- Kaminsky, G. L., & Reinhart, C. M. (1999). The twin crises: The causes of banking and balance-of-payments problems. *American Economic Review*, 89(3), 473–500.