

**Artificial Intelligence and Blockchain for Real-Time Financial Reporting:
Automating Accounting, Reducing Fraud, and Enhancing Reliability**

Author: Marc Hobeika¹

*Affiliation: CIRAME Research Center, Business School
Holy Spirit University of Kaslik, P.O Box 446, Jounieh, Lebanon*

Abstract:

Through this study, I examine how we can revolutionize traditional financial reporting processes by integrating blockchain technology, automation, and artificial intelligence (AI) into a single model. Conventional accounting is still characterized by periodic closings, manual reconciliation and retrospective auditing that are known to introduce delays, human errors, and increased exposure to fraud. This study verifies whether a reporting system involving technology can be used to enhance productivity, accuracy and reliability within such limitations. I operated two accounting systems in parallel during a three-month comparative case study with one medium-sized e-commerce company: a traditional manual reporting system and a technology-powered platform where AI automation was enforced on a permissioned blockchain ledger. I analyzed five common indicators of performance (Bizri et al., 2021) which are monthly closing time, rate of transaction error, audit hours, accuracy of fraud detection and cost of reporting which were determined on approximately 10,000 transactions. The results indicate that there is a remarkable improvement of AI-blockchain environment. There was an increase of 29 % in the accuracy of fraud detection, a reduction of 46 in the auditing hours, time saved in the monthly closing of books, the error rates were to be reduced by 76 percent and the costs of reporting were to be reduced by 39. The implications of these findings are as follows: with the help of real-time processing, reinforcing internal controls, and enhancing transparency, combining AI and blockchain can positively influence the financial reporting considerably. But the first incurred expenses and uncertainty on the part of regulations are still major factors to mass adoption.

Keywords:

Artificial Intelligence (AI), Blockchain Technology, Financial Reporting, Fraud Detection, Accounting Automation

Introduction: "The What & Why"

Today, financial reporting has followed the same structure for decades. It is based on periodic closing, manual reconciliations and audits, which review the transaction once it has occurred. This model was designed for a slower and less complex business environment. However, business today operates at a much faster pace. Digital commerce has significantly increased transaction volumes, regulations have become stricter, in other words, the consequences of delayed or inaccurate reporting are more serious than ever before.

The failures, more like the major corporate scandals of companies, like Enron, WorldCom, and Wirecard, are prime examples showing the vulnerability of the financial system and how it relies heavily on human judgement as its primary control mechanism, meaning that in these cases, their main weakness is dependence on manual processes that could be easily manipulated, delayed or simply missed, meaning fraud is completely under the radar.

In response, new technologies have emerged. Artificial intelligence improvements can detect unusual transactions and classify financial data in a more accurate way. Automation will be more effective in repetitive accounting and the blockchain technology can establish a safer system that cannot be easily compromised (Beainy & Kamel, 2023).

Individually, each technology addresses a piece of the puzzle, however the main research question is: Does integrating AI automation with blockchain based ledger systems improve reporting speed, accuracy, fraud detection, and audit efficiency compared to traditional manual systems?

To answer this question, my study aims to:

- 1) Compare the speed of financial processes under both systems.
- 2) Measure differences in transaction error rates.
- 3) Evaluate how efficient is Ai in detecting fraud in real time.
- 4) Assess whether blockchain reduces audit effort through immutable records.
- 5) Analyze the overall costs of moving to an Ai-blockchain reporting system.
- 6) Evaluate the impact on corporate governance, and the future of IFRS and US GAAP frameworks

Literature Review: "The story so far "

The main question examined is why people feel like we should modify the way we disclose our finances. In the early 2000s, researches were already highlighting the weaknesses of traditional accounting and following researches from Sarbanes-Oxley, current technology is capable and now available to address those weaknesses.

The birth of robotic process automation took place on the accounts payable and receivable side of things. The statistics indicate that invoices and journal entry processes are handled much faster and the rate of errors is significantly reduced. In addition, there is a divided opinion in the literature concerning fraud detection. Even the initial models of machine-learning based on rules advanced

the detection above manual checks, however, fraudsters continue to get new tricks. Lately, unsupervised anomaly detection is promising as it does not depend on labeled data, and scammers find it difficult to extract new scams.

When blockchain first entered the accounting side it was initially marked by considerable hype. Nevertheless, the literature soon came to agree on the core principles of technology ; a tamper-evident, append-only ledger. Once you actually use that to record transaction, that creates an audit trail that does not require approval of the audited entity; it is more or less putting the entire assurance model on its head and that single-on-one change has even attracted the attention of auditing standards bodies. We had bank and supply-chain piloting in the case-study assignments. They operated the technology and reported two things, the cost of reconciliation have decreased significantly and the stakeholders such as suppliers, loan officers, and auditors were more confident with the numbers.

Agency theory, formalized by Jensen and Meckling (1976), sets the scene upon which we all understand all this governance stuff. It is, in essence, all about the information asymmetry between principals and agents that cause problems. Therefore, financial reports should narrow that gap, yet they will be as helpful as possible depending upon the reliability of the information. And once technology is involved, and it is structurally difficult to prevent cheating rather than merely to prevent it on paper, then that represents a fresh solution to the agency problem.

What no one gets into is how all these techs are combined to form one system. Most studies discuss AI (Jafarigorzin et al., 2025), automation, and blockchain as individual bullets. Those few who consider combinations only consider it theoretically. That is what this study addresses directly by literally modelling one of such setups that operate Ai, automation, and blockchain simultaneously.

Methodology: "The how we did it "

In my research, I designed a comparative case study. I essentially operated two accounting arrangements simultaneously, over a three month period. The statistics were obtained in a medium-sized e-commerce business, therefore, there was enough trades to obtain accurate statistics, but not too many so that you must use the computer to trace all the data manually: in that case, the comparison would not seem staged and like a plot twist created by a writer.

Under the traditional reporting system, the book-keepers used to handwrite all the journal entries. Accountants had to reconcile the bank statements, accounts payable and accounts receivable by the end of the month. The look would be more periodical than real-time monitoring with the internal audit folks (Saber & Sassine, 2022) selecting some transactions every quarter to confirm the presence of fraud, especially in today's fast pace business environment (Beainy & Kamel, 2023).

The technologized system was completely different. Transactions were pushed in real-time with the use of an API and directly sent to an AI engine that matched each record with the correct account and marked outliers. After a trade had cleared the AI, it was entered on a permissioned ledger blockchain that was private. Intelligent contracts were used that had the conventional rules,

payment terms, approval schemes, accrual frequency and so it all automatically was enforced (Kamel et al., 2026) allowing the creation of a professional smart environment.

I needed to give reasons as to why I chose a private blockchain. Open blockchains have the potential to slow them down and privacy issues that do not coincide with corporate accounting. A personal database makes the entries unchangeable and yet performable at speeds allowing a real-time operation, which is where serious businesses are headed.

The amount of the data that I collected is about 10,000 transactions. I calculated five important items including time taken to close monthly books, error rate of transacting per quarter, hours taken to audit quarterly, the rate of detection of the frauds/anomaly, and the overall monthly cost of reporting. As both systems were fed with the same data I eradicated any source of confounding difference and through its results.

Results: "The facts "

The outcomes were similar in all the five performance indicators, and the tech-enabled environment significantly outperformed the traditional system by far in all aspects. The figures can be seen in the table below:

Table 1: Metrics used

Metric		Traditional	AI-Blockchain	Change
Monthly Closing	Duration	7 days	1.5 days	79% faster
Transaction Error Rate		3.8%	0.9%	76% reduction
Quarterly Audit Hours		120 hours	65 hours	46% reduction
Fraud Detection	Accuracy	62%	91%	+29% efficiency
Monthly Reporting Cost		\$18,000	\$11,000	39% savings

Note: Artificial Intelligence and Blockchain for Real-Time Financial Reporting: Automating Accounting, Reducing Fraud, and Enhancing Reliability 2026

Personally, after further analysis, extracting the data, I was honestly surprised and could not believe that we reduced our closing time of 7 days to only 1.5 days usually it is a 79% reduction and this was possible mainly because of the elimination of manual reconciliation. In addition, the error rates dropped from 3.8% to 0.9% (76% times fewer) since, with the AI grading, the error will be detected immediately after typing the data rather than at a later time.

In addition, there was an efficient improvement substantially in audit. The number of audit hours decreased by 46 percent, quarterly audit hours went down from 120 to 65, during which numbers of frustrating flaws were reduced, not to mention the convenience of the blockchain audit trail that no longer required the auditor to collect the evidence but rather to analyze it. The detection of the

fraud increased by 29 percent to 91%, not only fancy algorithms but also because we are observing all the transactions every minute not merely checking samples.

Monthly reporting expenses have been reduced by 39 percent, from 18,000 USD to 11,000, the amount of money we save is exactly what we save in terms of price of operating the automated system. This is directly coupled with the type of cost-benefit analysis that any organization will be forced to make before deciding to implement it.

Discussion and conclusion: "The so what ? "

This study demonstrates that the financial reporting with the help of AI, automation, and blockchain can significantly be transformed. In every measure that we examined, the tech-enabler system outperforms the old-fashioned one in some cases, by a broad margin, enough to be applicable and not merely a statistical coincidence.

The accelerated scramble to settle the monthly closing statements alters the entire beats of a finance team. The data is more available to managers earlier, the manager can make decisions based on more up to date data and the small close window period reduces the amount of time that the financial statements remain provisional. It goes without saying that faster reporting is desirable in case of listed firms that must continue always making disclosures.

Fraud detection results deserve government attention. The rise from 62 to 91% accuracy is not simply a technological upgrade, but a fundamental change in maintaining control of finances. The traditional approach is retrospective: fraud is identified after it has occurred, most likely through audit sampling and once the damage is done (Sawaya et al., 2025). It would be entirely possible to intercept bad stuff before it is finished, and blockchain would mean that it will be practically impossible to edit it later, which is what Jensen and Meckling already discussed in 1976.

The 39% cost saving demonstrates that this tech is not necessarily a matter of combating fraud or governance. Framed narrowly as an operational efficiency question, the numbers support a positive investment case. The only problem is the initial cost: the statement of analysis considers only the operating cost of those days and omits the funds that must be spent to get everything ready. In the case of small businesses, such initial expense may be a real discouragement.

An outstanding uncertainty in the regulations remains a huge asset. Both IFRS and USGAAP are yet to draft regulations regarding blockchain records or statements generated by artificial intelligence. With no clearer guidance expected in the near term, companies are left on the wrong foot, as to how audit Companies will treat blockchain ledgers, or the way smart contracts will be reflected in the books. Further research must consider larger companies, other sectors and cross-border environments, and must consider how the continuous assurance model suggested by blockchain, may disrupt auditing. Here we see the evidence of the tech works the next big question is the pace and governance conditions of adoption.

References

- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (2022) Report to the Nations: Global Study on Occupational Fraud and Abuse. Austin, TX: ACFE.
- Beainy, R. H., & Kamel, J. K. (2023). Surviving the Post Silicon Valley Bank Crisis. *International Journal of Membrane Science and Technology*, 10(3), 775-785.
- Beainy, R. H., & Kamel, J. K. (2023). The Importance of Technology, R&D and AI in the US Economy. *Migration Letters*, 20(8), 573-584.
- Bizri, R., Wahbi, M., & Al Jardali, H. (2021). The impact of CSR best practices on job performance: the mediating roles of affective commitment and work engagement. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 8(1), 129-148.
- Cong, L. and He, Z. (2019) 'Blockchain Disruption and Smart Contracts', *Review of Financial Studies*, 32(5), pp. 1754–1797.
- Deloitte (2023) The Future of Audit: Leveraging AI and Automation. London: Deloitte Insights.
- IFRS Foundation (2023) IAS 2 Inventories. London: IFRS Foundation.
- IBM (2022) Blockchain for Financial Services Industry Report. Armonk, NY: IBM Institute for Business Value.
- Jafarigorzin, S., Khalil, F. C., Khalil, L. J., & Kaspard, J. A. (2025). Machine learning-based localized predictive modeling of household energy consumption in the Netherlands. *Energy and Buildings*, 116420.
- Jensen, M. and Meckling, W. (1976) 'Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure', *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), pp. 305–360.
- JPMorgan Chase (2023) Onyx Digital Assets Annual Review. New York: JPMorgan.
- Kamel, C., Khalil, F., Mouawad, J., Kechli, W., & Kaspard, J. (2026). Enabling Green Innovation in the Circular Economy: A Systematic Thematic Review of Digitalization and Stakeholder Engagement. *Sustainability*, 18(3), 1360.
- KPMG (2022) Accounting for Cryptoassets under IFRS. London: KPMG International.
- PwC (2022) AI in Audit: Transforming Assurance Services. New York: PwC.
- Saber, A. M., & Sassine, M. E. (2022). Reflections on internal control and internal audit roles for ensuring financial transparency toward Lebanese NGOs financial donors. *EuroMed Journal of Management*, 4(3), 186-198.
- Sawaya, C., Al Maalouf, N. J., Hanoun, R., & Rakwi, M. (2025). Impact of auditor independence, expertise, and industry experience on financial reporting quality. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, 30(1), 100357.
- Soliman, M. (2008) 'The Use of DuPont Analysis by Market Participants', *The Accounting Review*, 83(3), pp. 823–853.
- Yermack, D. (2017) 'Corporate Governance and Blockchains', *Review of Finance*, 21(1), pp. 7–31.