

Ai-Verified Proof of Reserves and the Evolution of Financial Reporting Disclosure

Author: Georges Abou Merhy¹

Affiliation: CIRAME Research Center, Business School

Holy Spirit University of Kaslik, P.O Box 446, Jounieh, Lebanon

Abstract:

Remarkably, despite the national conversation about ESG and corporate responsibility, much of the financial architecture that companies rest upon is still built on periodic disclosures, retrospective measurement, and delayed verification — components all antithetical to the substance communicated by a firm's quality of operational reporting. In an ever more digitized financial world, where assets and liabilities are flowing in all directions from moment to moment, it can be argued that this fixed-state approach to reporting simply cannot provide adequate real-time end-to-end visibility across a broad spectrum of stakeholders. One key gap involves the rudimentary capability of traditional reporting systems to give continuous visibility into whether reported reserves actually exist and are sufficient to back outstanding commitments. This article seeks to address that gap by exploring AI-verified proof of reserves as a possible supplementary financial reporting disclosure. Rather than claiming that it is a substitution for established standards like IFRS or GAAP, the study argues that AI-assisted reserve verification represents an additional layer of disclosure that can improve confidence in a company's reported financial strength via automated validation, anomaly detection, and continuous monitoring mechanisms. From a conceptual financial reporting perspective, the article discusses how this model might help to reduce information asymmetries and strengthen market trust, as well as extend the logic of disclosure from static year-end financial reporting towards a more dynamic framework for continuous verification of firm finances. In conclusion the paper argues that AI-verified proof of reserves could be a significant advancement in future reporting architecture, especially in environments where transparency, solvency assurance and real-time credibility are increasingly becoming central to financial reporting relevance.

Keywords:

Artificial intelligence, financial reporting disclosure, Proof of reserves, Continuous financial verification, Information asymmetry

Introduction: "The What & Why"

Financial reporting has long been viewed as the cornerstone of corporate transparency, financial reporting attempted to furnish investors, regulators and other interested parties with a structured means through which they could discern the financial health of an organization. Reporting frameworks (e.g., IFRS and GAAP) are a set of standardized disclosures including balance sheets, income statements, cash flow statements with notes that aim to provide reliable, comparable and relevant information essential for economic decision making (Bhimani et al., 2014). These frameworks were meant to create a level of trust between businesses and those interfacing in the market by outlining rules for how transactions would be recognized, measured, presented and verified. But the conventional structure of financial reporting, which is still predominantly built on periodic disclosures — generally reported every quarter or year — leads to one big problem: financial statements are often a mere retrospect of a company's numbers as laid out at a time close to the reporting date and not an ongoing account reflecting its economic reality.

This segmented structure introduces critical constraints in contemporary financial settings where transactions, obligations and liquidity positions can change quickly. Since verification procedures like audits take place after reporting periods have closed, users of financial statements are usually faced with information reflecting past conditions instead of current financial realities. Consequently, a disconnect might emerge between the economic dynamics of an entity and the facts at investors and regulators disposal that lead to creating something called 'verification lag' and information asymmetry. Auditors, custodians and management assertions — intermediaries that we remain heavily reliant upon in order to instill confidence in financial reporting — are all inherently backward looking mechanisms. The lagging nature of conventional reporting frameworks may limit stakeholders' ability to evaluate whether the reported financial resources are still adequate to cover short- and long-term liabilities in quickly evolving financial markets, where the values of assets and liabilities can change instantaneously.

These challenges have been especially acute in the field of digital assets and cryptocurrencies where financial activity frequently takes place within technologically sophisticated platforms (Beany & Kamel, 2023) managing significant amounts of customer assets. In contrast to traditional finance, a plethora of digital asset platforms function in environments that execute asset custody, transaction settlement and liquidity management concurrently through decentralized networks. Such environments raise a pressing question for both users and regulators, namely whether the platforms actually possess the reserves necessary to cover the liabilities they report to customers. This concern has resulted in increasing interest in mechanisms able to show that their reserves are indeed backed, and do so openly and verifiably. Proof of reserves is one such mechanism which can help verify that an entity has enough assets to match their stated liabilities. Although this concept began forming in cryptocurrency contexts, it is fundamentally based on the principles of financial reporting transparency by verifying the existence of assets and liabilities.

Meanwhile, rapid advances in artificial intelligence have brought with them new ways to analyze financial data, detect anomalies and automate verification processes. Today's AI systems can analyze large transaction datasets to detect anomalies, reconcile financial records, and track financial data in real time rather than at periodic intervals. When adapted for use in reserve

verification systems, these capabilities open the door to moving from static disclosures towards more dynamic mechanisms of financial validation. By automating the monitoring and verification of assets backing reported liabilities, the marriage of AI with a proof-of-reserves architecture could offer stakeholders greater assurance over the credibility of financial information. Instead of supplanting the route already taken on financial reporting, such mechanisms could provide an additional layer of transparency intended to sit above and support existing financial statements and audit activities.

Although both artificial intelligence and proof-of-reserves are increasingly critical elements of future financial ecosystems, the emerging literature tools for financial reporting have focused primarily on traditional fields of recognition, measurement, presentation and external assurance standards to assess such disclosures with most comparative attention devoted to whether continuous verification returns are compatible with existing frameworks. As financial markets become rapidly digitized, the architecture of reporting has to be questioned whether it still serves a purpose for transparency in increasingly dynamic and evolving financial markets. Accordingly, this article discusses the potential use of AI-verified proof of reserves as an additional disclosure tool in a financial reporting environment. In particular, it considers the potential of AI-assisted reserve verification systems to improve transparency, lower information asymmetry and facilitate the development of new types of financially sustainable reporting-models that provide novel forms of technology-enabled financial verification.

Literature Review: "The story so far "

The role of disclosure systems in alleviating the information asymmetry between firms and other market participants has been a central topic in financial reporting literature for decades. As per the seminal research by Akerlof (1970), an information asymmetry results in market inefficiencies if one party has better insight about the real state about an asset or institution. As a result, financial reporting frameworks such as the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) emerged partially in an effort to solve this problem by standardizing mechanisms of recognition, measurement and disclosure [3]. These frameworks are instituted to allow firms to periodically hand in statements of their financial performance and also undergo external auditing, so as the financial data provided accurately represents firms economic landscape for better decision making by investors and creditors as well as regulators. But most of the traditional financial reporting framework is stuck in periodic disclosure and ex-post verification, which means that the reliability of financial information are only verified once the accounting period has ended.

Simultaneously, financial economics literature has investigated transparency and trust in the context of financial markets extensively. Empirical papers, like those of Healy and Palepu (2001), illustrate that high-quality financial disclosure mitigates uncertainty while minimizing the cost of capital by enhancing investor's belief in reported financial information. Exact financial market efficiency is the Holy Grail of finance, as proposed by Fama (1970), and states that prices adjust quickly to reflect all information, ensuring an optimal allocation of resources. A wealth of theoretical underpinnings exists; however, as active financial markets are increasingly driven towards systems of high-frequency trading (Beainy, 2026), digital pipelines and internationally

connected capital streams through Machine learning (Jafarigorzin, et al., 2025) traditional reporting mechanisms have been said to lag behind these market states. As financial activity continues to digitize, the lag between economic events and disclosure via periodic reporting might contribute further turbulence towards real-time transparency.

The debates about financial transparency and the assurance of reserves have been magnified again recently by developments in cryptocurrency and digital asset markets. Unlike traditional banking institutions that operate under strict regulatory supervision, many digital asset platforms historically relied on self-reported statements of their financial positions without ongoing public verification of reserve backing. Such an environment resulted in increased academic and regulatory demand for mechanisms that would allow confirmation of whether or not the platforms actually hold the needed assets to back customer liabilities. A notable method that has gained traction is the proof of reserves, a framework intended to confirm custodial institutions hold enough assets to cover or exceed their liabilities. Most previous work on proof-of-reserve systems focused on specific cryptographic techniques verifying properties of balances and mechanisms enabling decentralized transparency by using the underlying blockchain technology, stressing that those approaches would enhance trust in digital financial platforms, as external agents would be able to attest reserve balances.

For its part, the advancement of artificial intelligence started to touch accounting or auditing and financial monitoring practices. Furthermore, AI-based analytical tools are able to handle huge amounts of financial data that allows them to notice deviations with precision, automate reconciliation processes and help auditors evaluate complex financial data. Research into the use of AI for accounting indicates that algorithms can substantially improve how irregular transactions, such as frauds or reporting errors, are uncovered (Kokina & Davenport, 2017). AI technologies are also being used as continuous auditing and real-time assurance tools, providing the ability to verify the information more frequently than in annual audit cycles. Since then, it has become apparent that artificial intelligence could enhance financial verification mechanisms, especially in financial environments with high transaction volumes and swift changes to monetary events.

Notwithstanding these advances, the existing body of financial reporting literature has devoted limited attention to how technologies of AI could potentially merge with mechanisms for proof-of-reserves in ways that create new disclosures or forms thereof within an already extant framework of formal reporting. On one hand, while studies that address the transparency of cryptocurrencies focus mainly on blockchain verification techniques and research in artificial intelligence for accounting focuses primarily on auditing and fraud detection, limited cross-field studies have gone beyond this. In particular, little work has investigated if proof-of-reserves verification artifacts assisted by AI could serve as an additional financial reporting disclosure that would help to increase transparency (Athari et al., 2023) around the existence and adequacy of reserves held for reported liabilities. It is this gap that motivated the current study, which investigates a conceptual integration of AI-verified proof of reserves in financial reporting systems as the mechanism for enhanced transparency and reduced information asymmetry in contemporary finance settings.

Methodology: "The how we did it "

This is a conceptual and analytical study that investigates the potential of artificial intelligence to facilitate proof-of-reserves verification as well as how it could work as an additional disclosure in

financial reporting systems. Through this methodology of drawing from three distinct but related existing bodies of research -- financial reporting theory, reserve verification mechanisms within digital asset contexts and the burgeoning use of artificial intelligence in accounting/auditing tasks as well as reviewing each perspectives' potential relevance to one another rather than relying on primary quantitative data. The study examines the ways in which AI-assisted verification technologies can conceptually align with these objectives by drawing on insights from these fields. The first stage of methodology examine the structural underpinnings of financial report systems, especially the same language setting for different (like IFRS and GAAP) These frameworks stress the importance of principles, such as relevance, faithful representation, comparability and verifiability. The present study aims to investigate how this principle can be manifested in the proof of reserves and if conventional disclosure practices fully satisfy the requirement of transparency about the existence of assets backing financial obligations. Emphasis is placed upon the periodic nature of financial reporting and how existing assurance mechanisms such as external audits, substantiate financial information only after the accounting period has closed.

The second stage entail the review of proof-of-reserves mechanisms that emerged from cryptocurrency and digital asset ecosystems. In these scenarios, proof-of-reserves methodologies verify that custodial platforms actually hold more assets than users have liabilities against. This methodology explores the operational principles of these systems such as verified cryptography and blockchain transparency to identify how reserve validation is achievable in a verifiable and observable external context. This step offered insight into the various efforts by reserve verification technologies to solve trust and transparency problems related to digital financial platforms.

The third stage of the methodology focuses on how artificial intelligence can aid in financial data analysis and verification. AI technologies (especially machine learning and data analytics systems) increasingly analyze vast amounts of financial transaction data, identify anomalies, and automate reconciliation processes. In accounting and auditing contexts, these capabilities have started to enable continuous auditing and real-time monitoring of financial transactions. The paper examines how AI-based analytical activity could progressively bolster proof-of-reserves systems, potentially resulting in automated verification, fraud detection and constant monitoring of reserve balances backing financial liabilities.

Ultimately, this study synthesizes findings from these three areas of analysis to develop a conceptual model demonstrating how AI-assisted proof-of-reserves verification might function as an additional financial reporting disclosure. Framework assesses how continuous verification mechanisms could supplement traditional financial statements, providing stakeholders with greater transparency about the existence and adequacy of reserves. It aims to analyze whether this integration of AI with proof-of-reserves verification strengthens the financial reporting transparency while remaining aligned with the objectives of modern financial reporting frameworks.

Results: "The facts "

The analysis in this study reveals significant structural observations related to financial reporting clarity, reserve validation systems and the changing nature of artificial intelligence in finance monitoring ecosystems. Conventional financial reporting mechanisms are mainly based on periodic disclosures that are verified by external audit and internal verification procedures. These mechanisms have historically assured the reliability and compliance to established accounting

standards of firms' financial information presented. However, the conceptual analysis concluded that reporting structures have generally remained post-event -- verification occurs after a financial outcome rather than continuously during the reporting period.

Whether entities truly possess the assets they claim to hold necessary to support your liabilities reported to users, the goal of these mechanisms would be to provide observable assurance that custodial entities maintain reserves which (at a minimum) equal the obligations they must hold. Current proof-of-reserves models are based mostly on cryptography-based verification systems and blockchain transparency strategies, which enable external parties to confirm reserve balances without needing access to innermost financial statements. Though these systems offer some transparency into asset existence, there is considerable variability across platforms and a focus outside formal financial reporting frameworks.

It also shows that artificial intelligence technologies have grown to a level of maturity where they can assist financial verification processes by conducting automated data analyses and anomaly detection. AI-powered analytical systems are capable of analyzing huge volumes of financial transaction data to detect unusual patterns and reconcile reported liabilities with underlying assets. This enables financial monitoring to happen at greater frequency and scale than with traditional manual verification methods. Consequently AI-assisted monitoring systems are indicating the capacity to provide a basis for continuous verification of financial information, specifically given environments where high host transaction volumes and transient phases of finance render standard reporting periods less responsive to real-time scenarios.

Simply put, a directional structure starts to appear when we overlay certain analytical insights from financial reporting theory, proof-on-reserves mechanisms and artificial intelligence capabilities. This means AI-assisted proof-of-reserves verification could serve as an additional disclosure system that can be run in tandem with traditional financial reporting systems. Within such a framework, periodic financial statements would continue to provide structural disclosures of a firm's financial position, while AI-enabled reserve verification tools could deliver continuous validation of whether reported assets remain able to redeem outstanding liabilities. This framework opens up the potential of going beyond static reporting snapshots toward ever more dynamic forms of financial right-checking.

Finally, the results highlight that the integration of AI-assisted proof-of-reserves verification mechanisms into financial reporting systems may help reduce information asymmetry between observed reporting entities and market participants. Drawing the reserve verification over to automated monitoring platforms would enable stakeholders to validate the credibility of financial disclosures on asset backing and liquidity positions with greater clarity. While these mechanisms would not override specific reporting standards or auditing procedures, this study suggests that AI verification tools could support traditional methods of disclosure, ultimately leading to increased transparency and reduced uncertainty in reported financial information.

Discussion and conclusion: "The so what ? "

This study aimed to analyze the concept of emerging technologies, especially artificial intelligence, to enhance financial transparency by verifying reserves backing up financial commitments. Data-dependent financial reporting systems reflected a clear ideological orientation to systematic and reliable disclosures through periodic financial statements and external audit procedures to investors, regulators and other constituencies. Although these mechanisms are still crucial for maintaining the reliability of financial information, the analysis performed in this

research emphasizes that traditional reporting framework is predominantly backward-looking; with verification and disclosure normally taking place only after economic events have transpired. This delay between economic activity and its disclosure could constrain stakeholders' ability to comprehensively evaluate the credibility of reported financial positions in today's fast transaction environments with digital financial platforms and ever more intricate financial frameworks.

The results of the research indicate that proof-of-reserves mechanisms, which emerged in cryptocurrency and digital asset systems, are an important attempt to combat transparency issues related to whether assets exist at all to back liabilities. Proof-of-reserves frameworks add a layer of confidence to the solvency of financial platforms, relying on cryptographic and blockchain-based systems that allow third parties to verify reserve balances. But these mechanisms have largely operated outside of traditional financial reporting frameworks, and as such remain disconnected from established disclosure practices governed by accounting standards.

Meanwhile, there have been significant advancements in artificial intelligence technologies that dramatically broadened the analytical resources available for processing and verifying financial data. AI powered systems can extract insights from big data volumes of financial transactions, identify outliers (anomalies) and automate reconciliation tasks as well as support continuous monitoring of financials. Together with proof-of-reserves verification mechanisms, these capabilities enable completely new forms of financial transparency that provide visibility far beyond the confines of periodic reporting cycles. AI-assisted reserve verification, in particular, could enable financial systems to transition toward continuous validation of the assets that support reported liabilities.

This study supplements the conceptual analysis by suggesting how AI-verified proof of reserves could fit as an additional disclosure mechanism in wider financial reporting frameworks. Rather than replacing existing standards like IFRS or GAAP, this technique can serve as an extra transparency layer formulated to support the trustworthiness of reported economic positions. This approach may enable automated monitoring systems to give stakeholders improved visibility regarding the existence and adequacy of reserves from reporting entities. These various proposed developments may help reduce information asymmetry between firms and market participants, while strengthening trust in financial disclosures.

Future studies can further explore the implement ability of AI-assisted reserve verification in official financial reporting systems/regulatory frameworks. More specifically, further studies could investigate how auditors, regulators and standard-setting bodies can fold continuous verification technologies into disclosure requirements while preserving the reliability, comparability and integrity of financial reporting. The emerging of AI and reserve verification systems will add to the wider context of financial transparency, as technology alters the way we communicate for the better.

References

- Akerlof, G. A. (1970). The market for lemons: Quality uncertainty and the market mechanism. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 84(3), 488–500.
- Athari, S. A., Saliba, C., Khalife, D., & Salameh-Ayanian, M. (2023). The role of country governance in achieving the banking sector's sustainability in vulnerable environments: New insight from emerging economies. *Sustainability*, 15(13), 10538.
- Beainy, R. H., & Kamel, J. K. (2023). The Importance of Technology, R&D and AI in the US Economy. *Migration Letters*, 20(8), 573-584.
- Beainy, R. (2026). Volatility and Returns in VUCA Transatlantic Markets. *Available at SSRN 6035355*.
- Fama, E. F. (1970). Efficient capital markets: A review of theory and empirical work. *Journal of Finance*, 25(2), 383–417.
- Healy, P. M., & Palepu, K. G. (2001). Information asymmetry, corporate disclosure, and the capital markets: A review of the empirical disclosure literature. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 31(1–3), 405–440.
- Jafarigorzin, S., Khalil, F. C., Khalil, L. J., & Kaspard, J. A. (2025). Machine learning-based localized predictive modeling of household energy consumption in the Netherlands. *Energy and Buildings*, 116420.
- Kokina, J., & Davenport, T. H. (2017). The emergence of artificial intelligence: How automation is changing auditing. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, 14(1), 115–122.
- Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system.
- Yermack, D. (2017). Corporate governance and blockchains. *Review of Finance*, 21(1), 7–31.
- Bushman & Smith (2001)