

Artificial Intelligence and Predictive Analytics in Retail Customer Relationship Management:**Forecasting Demand, Reducing Customer Churn, and Improving Sales Performance**Author: Kevin El Ojeil¹*Affiliation:*

1. *Business School, Holy Spirit University of Kaslik, P.O. Box 446, Jounieh, Lebanon*
2. *CIRAME Research Center, Holy Spirit University of Kaslik, P.O. Box 446, Jounieh, Lebanon*

Abstract:

Through this study I try to figure out whether artificial intelligence (AI) and predictive analytics can actually change the way retail businesses forecast demand and keep hold of their customers, compared to the old way of just going off experience. Retail planning today, in most places, still comes down to a spreadsheet, a manager's gut feeling, and a sales review every couple of weeks, and that combination tends to produce forecasting mistakes, missed churn warning signs, and inventory or staffing decisions that are more reactive than planned. This study checks whether an AI-driven customer relationship management (CRM) system can do better on forecasting accuracy, churn, and sales efficiency than that. I ran two systems side by side for four months across branches of a mid-sized retail chain: the usual manual reporting and planning process on one side, and an AI platform combining demand forecasting with a churn-prediction model built off customer purchase history on the other. Five things were tracked across about 40,000 transactions and 12,000 loyalty-program profiles: forecast accuracy, churn rate, staff scheduling efficiency, promotional campaign conversion, and monthly revenue lost to stockouts. The AI-driven branches came out ahead on all five: forecast accuracy was up 34%, churn dropped 41%, scheduling efficiency rose 22%, campaign conversion improved 27%, and stockout losses fell 58%. What this suggests is that predictive AI, once it's actually built into day-to-day retail operations, can genuinely help with keeping customers and planning better. That said, the data-quality requirements and the upfront cost of getting a decent customer data pipeline running are still real obstacles, especially for smaller retailers who don't already have clean loyalty data.

Keywords:

Artificial Intelligence (AI), Predictive Analytics, Customer Relationship Management (CRM), Customer Churn, Retail Sales Forecasting

Introduction:

Retail planning has basically followed the same pattern for decades. Managers look at last month's numbers, adjust orders and schedules by feel, and usually only realize a customer has stopped coming back once they're already gone for good. That approach made sense when retail moved slower and there was less competition for someone's attention. These days customers bounce between in-store and online constantly, loyalty is a lot harder to earn than it used to be, and getting inventory or staffing wrong costs more than it once did.

You don't have to look far for examples, chains that over-ordered stock right before a demand slowdown, or others that quietly lost regulars to a competitor without anyone noticing until it showed up in the quarterly numbers. In pretty much every case, the underlying problem is the same: decisions get made after the fact, once the sales dip has already happened or the customer has already walked.

New technology helps with a lot of this. Machine learning models can forecast demand at the store and even SKU level with a lot more precision than a manual trend line ever could, and churn-prediction models can catch at-risk customers weeks before they actually stop buying, just from small shifts in how often they visit or how much they put in the basket (Nassar & Chidiac, 2023).

On their own, forecasting tools and churn models each fix part of the problem. But the real research question I wanted to answer is: does putting AI-driven demand forecasting together with predictive churn modeling actually improve forecasting accuracy, customer retention, staffing efficiency, and campaign performance compared to how retailers plan today?

To answer that, this study tries to:

- 1) Compare demand forecast accuracy under both systems.
- 2) Measure differences in customer churn rates.
- 3) Evaluate how efficiently AI predicts and helps prevent customer attrition.
- 4) Assess whether AI-driven staff scheduling reduces labor inefficiency.
- 5) Analyze the effect of predictive targeting on promotional campaign conversion.
- 6) Evaluate the overall financial impact, including revenue recovered from reduced stockouts.

Literature Review:

The transition from manual retail planning to predictive Customer Relationship Management (CRM) is fundamentally linked to how effectively organizations can leverage data to shape consumer economic decisions. Developing a data-driven culture and deploying robust business analytics are critical for enhancing organizational capabilities, product development, and firm performance (Azoury et al., 2024; Subrahmanyam et al., 2024). Within this analytical environment, machine learning models—particularly ensemble learning and deep learning algorithms—are proving highly effective at interpreting complex asset returns, economic shifts, and shifts in consumer sentiment (Khalife et al., 2025; Nasser et al., 2025). In retail settings,

these AI-driven decision support systems allow management to navigate operational uncertainty and supply chain disruptions by forecasting demand with precision (Subrahmanyam & Beainy, 2027), while vector autoregression (VAR) analyses of macroeconomic variables help contextualize broader retail consumption trends (Bejjani et al., 2024).

However, deploying AI to predict customer churn and personalize promotional campaigns introduces significant operational and ethical complexities. Consumer economic decisions are heavily influenced by generational factors, trust, and how marketing messages are communicated (Mawad & Freiha, 2024). When predictive targeting lacks transparency or authentic engagement, retailers risk damaging brand relationships through expectation disconfirmation and perceived manipulation (Daou et al., 2025). Furthermore, transitioning to AI-driven CRM requires navigating ethical challenges and bias within corporate business culture (Diab & El Hajj, 2024), where successful adoption relies heavily on the perceived benefits and trust profiles of the retail managers implementing the system (Jabbour Al Maalouf et al., 2026). For smaller retail enterprises lacking strong internal controls or extensive financial resources, adopting these sophisticated systems requires leveraging business experience (Chaanine et al., 2023; Saber & Sassine, 2022) and exploring alternative business models, such as blockchain-enabled CRM solutions, to ensure sustainable performance improvements and data integrity (Khalife et al., 2024).

Methodology:

For this I set up a comparative case study, running the two planning approaches side by side across branches of the same mid-sized retail chain for four months. The chain does enough volume to get statistically meaningful numbers without turning the data collection into a full-time job.

On the traditional side, store managers went through weekly sales reports, ordered inventory based on last period's sales plus their own read of the situation, and built the staff schedule by hand around whatever foot traffic they expected. Customer follow-up only happened reactively, basically a staff member had to personally notice someone hadn't been in for a while before anything got done about it.

The AI-driven branches worked differently. Point-of-sale and loyalty data streamed in close to real time, feeding a forecasting model that predicted demand at the store and SKU level for the coming week, plus a churn model that scored every loyalty member on how likely they were to stop buying in the next 30 days. Customers flagged as high risk got automatically routed into a targeted retention campaign, and the forecast numbers fed straight into scheduling recommendations.

I compared whole branches rather than individual customers within the same store, mainly to keep the traditional group from getting contaminated by AI-driven decisions happening elsewhere in that store. Across the four months I tracked about 40,000 transactions and 12,000 loyalty profiles, on five indicators: forecast accuracy, churn rate, scheduling efficiency, campaign conversion, and monthly stockout losses. Both groups of branches ran on the same pricing, the same promo

calendar, and the same product assortment, so whatever difference shows up in the numbers should be down to the planning system and not something else going on.

Results:

The AI-driven branches came out ahead on all five indicators, and by a pretty wide margin in a couple of cases. The numbers are below:

Metric	Traditional	AI-Driven	Change
Demand Forecast Accuracy	68%	91%	+34% accuracy
Customer Churn Rate	12.5%	7.4%	41% reduction
Staff Scheduling Efficiency	74%	90%	22% improvement
Promotional Campaign Conversion	9.1%	11.6%	27% improvement
Monthly Stockout Revenue Loss	\$26,000	\$10,900	58% reduction

Table 1: Metrics used

Honestly the forecast accuracy jump surprised me a bit, from 68% up to 91%, a 34% improvement, and it seems to come mostly from the model catching promotional and seasonal spikes that manual planning kept missing. That fed straight into fewer stockouts, with the monthly revenue lost to empty shelves dropping from around \$26,000 to \$10,900, a 58% cut.

Churn went from 12.5% down to 7.4%, a 41% drop, and from what I can tell that's mostly because at-risk customers got a personalized nudge before they disappeared for good rather than after. Scheduling efficiency was up 22%, from 74% to 90%, once shifts could actually be built around predicted traffic instead of whatever happened last week.

Campaign conversion moved up too, 9.1% to 11.6%, a 27% gain, which makes sense given offers were going to customers the model flagged as actually likely to respond instead of blasting the whole loyalty list. Put together, all five numbers point the same direction: forecasting, retention, staffing, and marketing all got a real bump from the AI-driven approach.

Discussion and Conclusion:

What this study really shows is that predictive AI, when it's applied to forecasting and churn together rather than as two separate side projects, can genuinely change how a retail business runs day to day. Every measure I looked at came out ahead for the AI-driven branches, by enough that this doesn't look like noise.

The forecast accuracy gain has knock-on effects across the whole business, better-timed inventory means fewer stockouts and less overstock at the same time, which helps cash flow and just means the shelf actually has what customers came in for. For a retailer that's competing mostly on convenience, not having the item in stock is often the whole ballgame, the customer just walks to whichever store does have it.

If I had to pick the most important number here, it's probably the churn drop. A 41% reduction means a lot more repeat customers sticking around, and repeat customers cost way less to keep than new ones cost to go find. This tracks with what customer relationship theory has been saying for a while, retention isn't really about discounting everybody, it's about catching the right customer at the right moment and giving staff something useful to do about it.

The scheduling and campaign numbers matter too, even if they're less flashy than churn or forecasting. It shows the AI isn't just helping with the big headline metrics, it's also quietly improving things like who's working which shift and who gets which offer. Looked at purely as a cost question, the case for adopting this is pretty strong. The real obstacle isn't the AI itself, it's the data foundation underneath it, retailers with messy loyalty data or inconsistent point-of-sale systems are going to need to invest before something like this can actually work reliably.

One thing I'm not sure about is how much of this result is specific to the retail category I studied here, and whether the same gains would show up somewhere with less frequent repeat purchases, furniture or electronics, for example. Future research should probably run this across different retail formats, bigger chains, and more than one country, and should also look at how predictive retention tools interact with customer trust and privacy concerns as more retailers start doing this. What I think this study does establish is that the technology works in practice. The bigger question now is how fast, and how responsibly, retailers actually adopt it.

References

- Azoury, N., Subrahmanyam, S., & Sarkis, N. (2024). The Influence of a Data-Driven Culture on Product Development and Organizational Success through the Use of Business Analytics. *Journal of Wireless Mobile Networks, Ubiquitous Computing, and Dependable Applications*, 15(2), 123-134.
- Bejjani, G., Toros, E., Elia, J., & Jabbour Al Maalouf, N. (2024). Technology analytic adeptness for examining the interrelatedness between the main macroeconomic variables in Lebanon employing a vector autoregression (VAR). *Journal of Decision Systems*, 1-30.
- Chaanine, N., Mhanna, R. Y., Verdie, J. F., & Beainy, R. (2023). Leveraging business experience and knowledge to boost entrepreneurial achievement. *International Journal of Work Innovation*, 4(2), 104-115.
- Daou, L., Sayegh, E., Atallah, E., Jabbour Al Maalouf, N., & Sarkis, N. (2025). Greenwashing as a Barrier to Sustainable Marketing: Expectation Disconfirmation, Confusion, and Brand–Consumer Relationships. *Sustainability*, 17(22), 9979. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17229979>
- Diab, B., & El Hajj, M. (2024). Ethics in the age of artificial intelligence: unveiling challenges and opportunities in business culture. *Cogent Business & Management*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2408440>

- Jabbour Al Maalouf, N., Ficani, A., & Elia, J. (2026). Drivers of AI adoption in auditing: a quantitative exploration of perceived benefits and trust across auditor profiles. *Cogent Business & Management*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2026.2709916>
- Khalife, D., Subrahmanyam, S., & Farah, A. (2024). A Sustainable Circular Business Model to Improve the Performance of Small and Medium-sized Enterprises Using Blockchain Technology. *Journal of Wireless Mobile Networks, Ubiquitous Computing, and Dependable Applications*, 15(2), 240-250.
- Khalife, D., Yammine, J., El Bazi, T., Zaki, C., & Jabbour Al Maalouf, N. (2025). Analyzing the impact of investor sentiment on S&P 500 prices using deep learning models. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 23(4), 1365-1386.
- Mawad, J. L., & Freiha, S. (2024). The Role of Influencers in Shaping the Economic Decisions of Consumers Using the Logistic Regression Approach—Does the Generation Factor Matter?. *Sustainability*, 16(21), 9546. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16219546>
- Nasser, A., Yammine, J., Harouny, J., & Khalife, D. (2025). Predicting Economic Quads Through Asset Returns Using Ensemble Machine Learning. *IEEE Access*.
- Saber, A. M., & Sassine, M. E. (2022). Reflections on internal control and internal audit roles for ensuring financial transparency toward Lebanese NGOs financial donors. *EuroMed Journal of Management*, 4(3), 186-198.
- Subrahmanyam, S., Aishwaryalaxmi, N. S., Khalife, D., Shaikh, I. A. K., Faldu, R., & Asthana, N. (2024, April). Impact of knowledge management and big data analytics capabilities on firm performance. In *2024 Ninth International Conference on Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics (ICONSTEM)* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- Subrahmanyam, S., & Beainy, R. H. (2027). Smart Decision Support for Uncertainty and Disruptions in Industry 4.0 Operations. In *Smart Operational Research Solutions in the Era of Industry 4.0 and Sustainability* (pp. 167-198). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.